



INTEROFFICE CORRESPONDENCE

DATE: April 20, 1992
TO: D. R. Ferrier, Waste Operations, Bldg. 750, x 6456
FROM: Delores M. Krieg, Chair, On-Site Transportation Committee, Bldg. 111, x 2377
SUBJECT: COMMENTS ON LETTER TO FERRIER FROM HET/NUS, 3/19/92 - DMK - 104 - 92

The On-Site Transportation Committee has reviewed the above named letter and offers the following comments:

General. How will DOT solid be ensured? What QA surveillance (periodic testing) will be implemented to ensure a solid before generating thousands of boxes this time?

What on-site movements are forecasted as part of this process?
They will have to be in compliance with the On-Site Transportation Manual.

Paragraph 1, 2nd sentence. What authority considers the approach directionally correct and who is Duncan?

Paragraph 2 How will HET/NUS be able to guarantee that this "gel strength" has occurred and that the material is in proper form to transport? What happens if a half-crate is moved before the matrix sets up or after the allowed hour or hour and a half? Will the waste form be certifiable?

Paragraph 5. If the plastic bag is being closed while the material retains moisture, how will HET/NUS be able to certify that there are no free liquids present? Is shrink wrapping being discussed in this paragraph as a means of keeping moisture in? Won't the lighter plastic tear easily? Won't the porosity of the contents prohibit the vacuum process?

Interoffice Memorandum Was the materials handling study performed in 1991 or did time travel take place?

Why is a fiberglass lid being used if the material is being sealed at the processing area?

Change Label Half Crate to Mark and Label Half Crate.

How many of the pouring support frames will be necessary and how many reserve units will be purchased?

A-0004-000070